arXiv:1606.04688v2 [math.QA] 18 Aug 2016

A HOLOMORPHIC VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRA OF CENTRAL CHARGE 24 WHOSE WEIGHT ONE LIE ALGEBRA HAS TYPE $A_{6,7}$

CHING HUNG LAM AND HIROKI SHIMAKURA

Abstract. In this article, we describe a construction of a holomorphic vertex operator algebras of central charge 24 whose weight one Lie algebra has type $A_{6,7}$.

1. Introduction

In 1993, Schellekens [Sc93] obtained a list of 71 possible Lie algebra structures for the weight one subspaces of holomorphic vertex operator algebras (VOAs) of central charge 24. However, only 39 of the 71 cases in his list had been constructed explicitly at that time. In the recent years, many new holomorphic VOAs of central charge 24 have been constructed. In [La11, LS12], 17 holomorphic VOAs were constructed using the theory of framed VOAs. In addition, three holomorphic VOAs were constructed in [Mi13, SS16] using $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold constructions associated to lattice VOAs. Recently, van Ekeren, Möller and Scheithauer [EMS] have established the general $\mathbb{Z}_n$-orbifold construction for elements of arbitrary orders. In particular, constructions of five holomorphic VOAs were discussed. In [LS16], five other holomorphic VOAs were constructed using $\mathbb{Z}_2$-orbifold constructions associated to inner automorphisms. Based on these results, there are two remaining cases in Schellekens' list which have not been discussed yet. The corresponding Lie algebras have type $A_{6,7}$ and $F_{4,6}A_{2,2}$.

In this article, we will describe a construction of a holomorphic VOA of central charge 24 whose weight one Lie algebra has type $A_{6,7}$. Since the level of $A_{6,7}$ is 7, it is natural to hope that a $\mathbb{Z}_7$-orbifold construction will bear fruit. It is indeed correct and we will show that the desired VOA can be constructed by applying the $\mathbb{Z}_7$-orbifold construction to the Leech lattice VOA V_Λ and an order 7 automorphism of V_Λ; however, the choice of the automorphism is somewhat tricky. It is the product of (a lift of) an order 7 isometry of Λ and an order 7 inner automorphism of V_Λ. Combining the explicit construction of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B69.

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic vertex operator algebra, Orbifold construction, Leech lattice.

C. H. Lam was partially supported by MoST grant 104-2115-M-001-004-MY3 of Taiwan.

H. Shimakura was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 26800001.

C. H. Lam and H. Shimakura were partially supported by JSPS Program for Advancing Strategic International Networks to Accelerate the Circulation of Talented Researchers "Development of Concentrated Mathematical Center Linking to Wisdom of the Next Generation".

the twisted V_Λ-modules for an isometry of Λ in [DL96] and the modification by Li's Δ-operator in [Li96], we obtain the irreducible twisted V_Λ-modules for the product of these two order 7 automorphisms. By using some explicit information, we will then show that the weight one subspace of the resulting orbifold VOA has dimension 48 and it is a Lie algebra of type A_6.

Let us explain the two automorphisms in more detail. It is known (see [CCNPW85]) that the Leech lattice has exactly two conjugacy classes of isometries of order 7. One class acts fixed-point-freely on Λ and the other class acts on Λ with trace 3. By the explicit construction given in [DL96], for an element in the former (resp. latter) class, the irreducible twisted V_Λ-module has lowest $L(0)$-weight 8/7 (resp. 6/7) and the resulting orbifold VOA will have a trivial (resp. 24-dimensional) weight one subspace. In either case, the weight one subspace is too small. The main trick for our construction is to modify the irreducible τ-twisted V_Λ-module associated to an order 7 isometry τ with trace 3 by Li's Δ-operator (cf. Proposition 2.2) so that the resulting irreducible twisted V_Λ-module has lowest $L(0)$-weight 1. It is equivalent to find a vector $f \in (1/7)\Lambda$ such that f is fixed by τ and $(f|f) = 2/7$ (see (3.3)). Then, if we set $g = \sigma_f \cdot \tau$, where σ_f is the inner automorphism associated to f, then g is the desired order 7 automorphism of V_Λ and the modified module is an irreducible g-twisted V_Λ-module.

Our argument is, in fact, very similar to that in [LS16, Section 10], in which a construction of a holomorphic VOA of central charge 24 such that the corresponding weight one Lie algebra has type $A_{4,5}^2$ is discussed.

The organization of this article is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about VOAs and review Li's Δ-operator. In Section 3, we review some basic facts about the isometry group of the Leech lattice Λ and describe an order 7 isometry of Λ. In addition, we find a suitable vector $f \in \Lambda$ and prove some lemmas about lattices. In Section 4, we discuss a construction of a holomorphic vertex operator algebra of central charge 24 such that the weight one Lie algebra has type $A_{6,7}$.

2. Preliminary

In this section, we will review some fundamental results about VOAs. We adopt the terminology and notation used in [LS16].

Let V be a VOA of CFT-type. Then, the weight one space V_1 has a Lie algebra structure via the 0-th mode, which we often call the *weight one Lie algebra* of V. Moreover, the n-th modes $v_{(n)}$, $v \in V_1$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define an affine representation of the Lie algebra V_1 on V. For a simple Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of V_1, the *level* of $\mathfrak{a}$ is defined to be the scalar by which the canonical central element acts on V as the affine representation. When the type of the root system of $\mathfrak{a}$ is X_n and the level of $\mathfrak{a}$ is k, we denote the type of $\mathfrak{a}$ by $X_{n,k}$.

Proposition 2.1 ([DM04a, (1.1), Theorem 3 and Proposition 4.1]). *Let V be a strongly regular, holomorphic VOA of central charge 24. If the Lie algebra V_1 is neither $\{0\}$ nor abelian, then V_1 is semisimple, and the conformal vectors of V and the subVOA generated by V_1 are the same. In addition, for any simple ideal of V_1 at level k, the identity*

$$\frac{h^\vee}{k} = \frac{\dim V_1 - 24}{24}$$

holds, where $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number.

Let V be a VOA of CFT-type. Let $h \in V_1$ such that $h_{(0)}$ acts semisimply on V. We also assume that Spec $h_{(0)} \subset (1/T)\mathbb{Z}$ for some positive integer T, where Spec $h_{(0)}$ denotes the set of spectra of $h_{(0)}$ on V. Let $\sigma_h = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}h_{(0)})$ be the (inner) automorphism of V associated to h. Then by the assumption on Spec $h_{(0)}$, we have $\sigma_h^T = 1$. Let $\Delta(h,z)$ be Li's Δ-operator defined in [Li96], i.e.,

$$\Delta(h,z) = z^{h_{(0)}} \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_{(n)}}{-n}(-z)^{-n}\right).$$

Proposition 2.2 ([Li96, Proposition 5.4]). *Let σ be an automorphism of V of finite order and let $h \in V_1$ be as above such that $\sigma(h) = h$. Let (M, Y_M) be a σ-twisted V-module and define $(M^{(h)}, Y_{M^{(h)}}(\cdot,z))$ as follows:*

$$M^{(h)} = M \quad \text{as a vector space;}$$
$$Y_{M^{(h)}}(a,z) = Y_M(\Delta(h,z)a,z) \quad \text{for any } a \in V.$$

Then $(M^{(h)}, Y_{M^{(h)}}(\cdot,z))$ is a $\sigma_h\sigma$-twisted V-module. Furthermore, if M is irreducible, then so is $M^{(h)}$.

Assume that V is self-dual. Then there exists a symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ on V, which is unique up to scalar ([Li94]). We normalize it so that $\langle\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1}\rangle = -1$. Then for $a, b \in V_1$, we have $\langle a|b\rangle\mathbb{1} = a_{(1)}b$.

For a σ-twisted V-module M and $a \in V$, we denote by $a_{(i)}^{(h)}$ the operator which corresponds to the coefficient of z^{-i-1} in $Y_{M^{(h)}}(a,z)$, i.e.,

$$Y_{M^{(h)}}(a,z) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{(i)}^{(h)} z^{-i-1} \quad \text{for} \quad a \in V.$$

The 0-th mode of an element $x \in V_1$ on $M^{(h)}$ is given by

$$x_{(0)}^{(h)} = x_{(0)} + \langle h|x\rangle \mathrm{id}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let us denote by $L^{(h)}(n)$ the $(n+1)$-th mode of the conformal vector $\omega \in V$ on $M^{(h)}$. Then the $L(0)$-weights on $M^{(h)}$ are given by

$$L^{(h)}(0) = L(0) + h_{(0)} + \frac{\langle h|h\rangle}{2}\mathrm{id}. \tag{2.2}$$

3. Leech lattice and its isometry group

We review some notations and certain basic properties of the Leech lattice Λ and its isometry group $O(\Lambda)$, which is also known as Co_0, a perfect group of order $2^{22} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23$.

3.1. **Hexacode balance and the Leech lattice.** Let $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, 24\}$ be a set of 24 elements and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the (extended) Golay code of length 24 indexed by Ω. A subset $S \subset \Omega$ is called a $\mathcal{G}$-set if $S = \operatorname{supp} \alpha$ for some codeword $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}$. We will identify a $\mathcal{G}$-set with the corresponding codeword in $\mathcal{G}$. A *sextet* is a partition of Ω into six 4-element sets of which the union of any two forms a $\mathcal{G}$-set.

For explicit calculations, we use the notion of *hexacode balance* to denote the codewords of the Golay code and the vectors in the Leech lattice (cf. [CS99, Chapter 11] and [Gr98, Chapter 5]). First we arrange the set Ω into a 4×6 array such that the six columns form a sextet. For each codeword in $\mathcal{G}$, 0 and 1 are marked by a blanked and non-blanked space, respectively, at the corresponding positions in the array. For example, $(1^8 0^{16})$ is denoted by the array

(3.1)



Let $(\cdot|\cdot)$ be the inner product of $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ and let $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{24} \in \mathbb{R}^{24}$ be an orthogonal basis of squared norm 2, that is, $(e_i|e_j) = 2\delta_{i,j}$ for $1 \le i, j \le 24$. Denote $e_X := \sum_{i \in X} e_i$ for $X \in \mathcal{G}$. The following is a standard construction of the Leech lattice.

Definition 3.1 ([CS99])**.** The *(standard) Leech lattice* Λ is a lattice of rank 24 generated by the vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} e_X\,, \quad \text{where } X \text{ is a generator of the Golay code } \mathcal{G};\\ &\frac{1}{4} e_\Omega - e_1\ ;\\ &e_i \pm e_j\,, \quad i, j \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. By arranging the set Ω into a 4×6 array, every vector in the Leech lattice Λ can be written as the form

$$X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} [X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6]\,, \quad \text{juxtaposition of column vectors.}$$

For example,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}\begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|}\hline 2&2&0&0&0&0\\ 2&2&0&0&0&0\\ 2&2&0&0&0&0\\ 2&2&0&0&0&0\\ \hline\end{array}$$

denotes the vector $\frac{1}{2}\,e_{(1^8 0^{16})}$, where $(1^8 0^{16})$ is the codeword corresponding to (3.1).

3.2. **An isometry of the Leech lattice of order 7.** In this subsection, we study a certain automorphism τ of Λ of order 7.

By the character table (cf. [CCNPW85, Page 184]), there exist exactly two conjugacy classes of elements of order 7 in $O(\Lambda)$. Let τ be an isometry of Λ of order 7 such that the trace of τ on Λ is 3. Such elements form a unique conjugacy class in $O(\Lambda)$ and the set of fixed-points of τ in Λ is a sublattice of rank 6. For the simplicity of calculation, we fix τ such that (see [CS99, Figure 11.21])

$\tau =$  .

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$. We extend the form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ to $\mathfrak{h}$ $\mathbb{C}$-bilinearly. We also extend the isometry τ to $\mathfrak{h}$ $\mathbb{C}$-linearly. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$ be the fixed-point subspace of τ in $\mathfrak{h}$ and let P_0 be the orthogonal projection from $\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $M = ((1-P_0)\mathfrak{h}) \cap \Lambda$ and $r \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$. Then $M = (1-\tau^r)\Lambda$.*

Proof. We note that $(1-P_0)\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}^{\perp} = \{y \in \mathfrak{h} \mid (y|x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}\}$. Hence, $M = \{\alpha \in \Lambda \mid (\alpha|x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}\}$. It is clear that $(1-\tau^r)\Lambda \subset M$ since $(1-\tau^r)\Lambda$ is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$.

By the definition of τ, we also know that the fixed-point subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$ of τ^r is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|}\hline a_0&a&b_0&b&c_0&c\\ a&a&b&b&c&c\\ a&a&b&b&c&c\\ a&a&b&b&c&c\\ \hline\end{array} \;\middle|\; a_0, b_0, c_0, a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

and M is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|}\hline 0&a_1&0&b_1&0&c_1\\ a_2&a_3&b_2&b_3&c_2&c_3\\ a_4&a_5&b_4&b_5&c_4&c_5\\ a_6&a_7&b_6&b_7&c_6&c_7\\ \hline\end{array} \in \Lambda \;\middle|\; \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{7} b_i = \sum_{i=1}^{7} c_i = 0 \right\}.$$

Note that the indexes in Ω corresponding to a_0, b_0, c_0 are 1, 9, 17, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{(c_1, \ldots, c_{24}) \in \mathcal{G} \mid c_1 = c_9 = c_{17} = 0\}$. Then the dimension of $\mathcal{G}_0$ is 9. Clearly, $(1-\tau^r)\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$. By using explicit generators of $\mathcal{G}$ (see for example [Gr98, (5.35)]), it is straightforward to show that $(1-\tau^r)\mathcal{G}$ is also a 9-dimensional subcode of $\mathcal{G}_0$ and hence we have

$$(1-\tau^r)\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0. \tag{3.2}$$

For $Y \subset \Omega$, let ε_Y denote the involution in $O(\Lambda)$ that acts by -1 on the coordinates corresponding to the elements in Y. Let E^1, E^2 and E^3 be the $\mathcal{G}$-sets corresponding to the codewords $(1^8, 0^8, 0^8)$, $(0^8, 1^8, 0^8)$, and $(0^8, 0^8, 1^8)$, respectively.

By the generators of Λ given in Definition 3.1, M is generated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_Y \frac{1}{2} e_X, \quad \text{where } X \text{ is a generator of the code } \mathcal{G}_0 \\
&\qquad\qquad \text{and } Y \subset \Omega \text{ with } |X \cap Y \cap E^i| = |X \cap E^i|/2 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3; \\
&e_i - e_j\,, \quad \text{where } i, j \in E^1 \setminus \{1\},\ i, j \in E^2 \setminus \{9\} \text{ or } \ i, j \in E^3 \setminus \{17\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), one can easily see that $M \subset (1-\tau^r)\Lambda$. Thus $M = (1-\tau^r)\Lambda$ as desired. □

3.3. **Calculations in $P_0(\Lambda)$.** In this subsection, we prove some lemmas about $P_0(\Lambda)$, which will be used later. Recall that P_0 is the orthogonal projection from $\mathfrak{h}$ to $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$.

Lemma 3.4. *The lattice $P_0(\Lambda)$ is spanned over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the following vectors:*

$$\frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}}\begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|}\hline 0&4&0&4&0&0\\ 4&4&4&4&0&0\\ 4&4&4&4&0&0\\ 4&4&4&4&0&0\\ \hline\end{array},\qquad \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}}\begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|}\hline 0&0&0&4&0&4\\ 0&0&4&4&4&4\\ 0&0&4&4&4&4\\ 0&0&4&4&4&4\\ \hline\end{array},$$

$$\frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}}\begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|}\hline 0&4&0&-4&0&0\\ 4&4&-4&-4&0&0\\ 4&4&-4&-4&0&0\\ 4&4&-4&-4&0&0\\ \hline\end{array},\qquad \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}}\begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|}\hline -14&2&0&0&0&0\\ 2&2&0&0&0&0\\ 2&2&0&0&0&0\\ 2&2&0&0&0&0\\ \hline\end{array},$$

$$\frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}}\begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|}\hline 0&0&-14&2&0&0\\ 0&0&2&2&0&0\\ 0&0&2&2&0&0\\ 0&0&2&2&0&0\\ \hline\end{array},\qquad \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}}\begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|}\hline -7&1&-7&1&-7&-3\\ 1&1&1&1&-3&-3\\ 1&1&1&1&-3&-3\\ 1&1&1&1&-3&-3\\ \hline\end{array}.$$

Proof. Note that $P_0 = \frac{1}{7}\sum_{i=0}^{6} \tau^i$. The result now follows easily by a direct calculation using Definition 3.1 and a basis for the Golay code (cf. [Gr98, (5.35)]). □

We now set

$$f = \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}} \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|} \hline 5 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then $(f|f) = 2/7$ and $f \notin P_0(\Lambda)$.

Lemma 3.5. *For $r \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}$, we set*

$$\mathcal{S}^r = \left\{ a + rf \;\middle|\; a \in P_0(\Lambda) \text{ and } |a + rf|^2 = \frac{2}{7} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{S}^1 = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6\}, && \mathcal{S}^{-1} = -\mathcal{S}^1, \\ &\mathcal{S}^2 = \{\beta_0 + \beta_1, \beta_1 + \beta_2, \beta_2 + \beta_3, \beta_3 + \beta_4, \beta_4 + \beta_5, \beta_5 + \beta_6, \beta_6 + \beta_0\}, && \mathcal{S}^{-2} = -\mathcal{S}^2, \\ &\mathcal{S}^3 = \{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3, \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4, \ldots, \beta_6 + \beta_0 + \beta_1\}, && \mathcal{S}^{-3} = -\mathcal{S}^3, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_1 = f$,

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}} \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|} \hline -2 & -2 & -6 & -2 & -4 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}, \qquad \beta_3 = \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}} \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|} \hline -2 & 2 & 8 & 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array},$$

$$\beta_4 = \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}} \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|} \hline 5 & -3 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -1 \\ -3 & -3 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -3 & -3 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -3 & -3 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \qquad \beta_5 = \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}} \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|} \hline -2 & 2 & -6 & 2 & -4 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array},$$

$$\beta_6 = \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}} \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|} \hline 5 & 1 & 1 & -3 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -3 & -3 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -3 & -3 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -3 & -3 & -1 & -1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \qquad \beta_0 = \frac{1}{7\sqrt{8}} \begin{array}{|cc|cc|cc|} \hline -9 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Proof. We discuss the case $r = 1$ only; the other cases can be proved by a similar argument.

Since $|f|^2 = 2/7$, it follows that $|a + f|^2 = 2/7$ if and only if $(a|f) = -\frac{1}{2}|a|^2$. By the Schwarz inequality, we also have

$$|(a|f)| \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}|a|.$$

Hence, $|a + f|^2 = 2/7$ implies $|a|^2 \le 8/7$. Now using Lemma 3.4, it is straightforward to determine $\mathcal{S}^1$. $\square$

Remark 3.6. We also note that β_i's satisfy the relation

$$(\beta_i|\beta_j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{7} & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\frac{1}{7} & \text{if } i - j \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 7, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4. Holomorphic VOA of central charge 24 with Lie algebra $A_{6,7}$

In this section, we describe how to construct a holomorphic VOA whose weight one Lie algebra has type $A_{6,7}$.

4.1. **Irreducible twisted V_Λ-modules.** Let Λ be the Leech lattice and τ the isometry of Λ of order 7 given in §3.2. Let V_Λ be the lattice VOA associated to Λ. Note that the restriction of the invariant form $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ of V_Λ to $(V_\Lambda)_1$ coincides with the form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ via the canonical injective map

$$\mathfrak{h} \to (V_\Lambda)_1, \quad h \mapsto h(-1) \cdot \mathbb{1}. \tag{4.1}$$

Since the order of τ is odd, there exists a lift of τ in V_Λ of order 7; we also denote it by the same symbol τ. Let $V_\Lambda[\tau^r]$, $(r = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3)$, be the unique irreducible τ^r-twisted V_Λ-module ([DLM00]). Such a module was constructed in [DL96] explicitly (see [SS16, Section 2.2] for a review); as a vector space,

$$V_\Lambda[\tau^r] \cong M(1)[\tau^r] \otimes \mathbb{C}[P_0(\Lambda)] \otimes T_r,$$

where $M(1)[\tau^r]$ is the "τ^r-twisted" free bosonic space and T_r is the unique irreducible module of $\hat{M}/\widehat{(1-\tau^r)\Lambda}$ satisfying certain conditions (see [Le85, Propositions 6.1 and 6.2] and [DL96, Remark 4.2] for details). It follows from $(1-\tau^r)\Lambda = M$ (see Lemma 3.3) that $\dim T_r = 1$ for $r \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}$.

Let f be the vector of Λ defined in (3.3). We regard f as a vector in $(V_\Lambda)_1$ via (4.1) and define $\sigma_f = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1} f_{(0)})$. Then σ_f is an automorphism of V_Λ of order 7 because $f \in \frac{1}{7}\Lambda \setminus \Lambda$. By the equality $\tau(f) = f$, σ_f commutes with τ, and the automorphism

$$g = \sigma_f \cdot \tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(V_\Lambda)$$

has order 7. Note that $g^r = \sigma_{rf} \cdot \tau^r$.

By Proposition 2.2, we obtain the irreducible g^r-twisted V_Λ-module $V_\Lambda[\tau^r]^{(rf)}$ for $r \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}$. For convenience, we fix a non-zero vector $t_r \in T_r$. Then $T_r = \mathbb{C}t_r$. By (2.2), we have

$$L^{(rf)}(0) = L(0) + r f_{(0)} + \frac{|rf|^2}{2} id. \tag{4.2}$$

Lemma 4.1. *For $r \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}$,*

$$\left\{ e^a \otimes t_r \;\middle|\; a \in P_0(\Lambda),\ |a + rf|^2 = \frac{2}{7} \right\}$$

is a basis of $\left(V_\Lambda[\tau^r]^{(rf)}\right)_1$. Moreover, the dimension of $\left(V_\Lambda[\tau^r]^{(rf)}\right)_1$ is 7.

Proof. Let $w \otimes e^x \otimes t_r \in V_\Lambda[\tau^r]^{(rf)}$ ($w \in M(1)[\tau^r]$, $x \in P_0(\Lambda)$) be a vector whose $L(0)$-weight is 1. By [DL96, (6.28)], it is straightforward to show that the $L(0)$-weight of $t_r \in V_\Lambda[\tau^r]$ is $6/7$. Let ℓ be the $L(0)$-weight of w in $M(1)[\tau^r]$, which belongs to $\frac{1}{7}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then by (4.2), the $L(0)$-weight of $w \otimes e^x \otimes t_r$ in the twisted module $V_\Lambda[\tau^r]^{(rf)}$ is

$$\ell + \frac{|x|^2}{2} + \frac{6}{7} + r(f|x) + \frac{|rf|^2}{2} = \ell + \frac{|x + rf|^2}{2} + \frac{6}{7}, \tag{4.3}$$

which is equal to 1 by the assumption. Hence by $x + rf \neq 0$, we have $\ell = 0$, and we may assume that $w = 1$. In addition, we obtain

$$|x + rf|^2 = \frac{2}{7}. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus, we have the first assertion. The latter assertion follows from Lemma 3.5. □

Remark 4.2. For any $r \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3\}$ and $x \in P_0(\Lambda)$, we have $|x + rf|^2 \geq 2/7$. Hence by (4.3), the lowest $L(0)$-weight of any irreducible g^r-twisted V_Λ-module is 1.

4.2. **$\mathbb{Z}_7$-orbifold construction.** In this subsection, we discuss the $\mathbb{Z}_7$-orbifold construction from V_Λ and g. First we consider the following V_Λ^g-module:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g} =& V_\Lambda^g \oplus (V_\Lambda[\tau]^{(f)})_\mathbb{Z} \oplus (V_\Lambda[\tau^2]^{(2f)})_\mathbb{Z} \oplus (V_\Lambda[\tau^3]^{(3f)})_\mathbb{Z} \\ & \oplus (V_\Lambda[\tau^{-3}]^{(-3f)})_\mathbb{Z} \oplus (V_\Lambda[\tau^{-2}]^{(-2f)})_\mathbb{Z} \oplus (V_\Lambda[\tau^{-1}]^{(-f)})_\mathbb{Z},\end{aligned}$$

where $(V_\Lambda[\tau^r]^{(rf)})_\mathbb{Z}$ is the subspace of $V_\Lambda[\tau^r]^{(rf)}$ with integral weight. By Remark 4.2, we can apply [EMS, Theorem 5.15] to our case under the assumption that V_Λ^g is regular (see also [CM, Mi, Mi15]).

Proposition 4.3 (cf. [EMS, Theorem 5.15])**.** *The space $\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g}$ defined as above is a strongly regular, holomorphic VOA of central charge* 24.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g}$ be defined as above. Then* $\dim(\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g})_1 = 48$ *and the Lie algebra $(\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g})_1$ has type $A_{6,7}$.*

Proof. Recall that $(V_\Lambda)_1$ is an abelian Lie algebra of dimension 24. Viewing $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$ as a subspace of $(V_\Lambda)_1$, we know that $(V_\Lambda^g)_1 = \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$ is an abelian Lie algebra of dimension 6. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\dim(\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g})_1 = 6 + 7 \times 6 = 48$. By Proposition 2.1, the Lie algebra $(\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g})_1$ is semisimple.

Now let $x \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)} \subset (V_\Lambda^g)_1$. Then

$$x_{(0)}^{(rf)} = x_{(0)} + (x|rf)id$$

on $V_\Lambda[\tau^r]^{(rf)}$. Notice that $x_{(0)} = 0$ on $M(1)[\tau^r]$ and on T_r by the explicit description of vertex operators in [Le85, DL96] (cf. [SS16]). Hence for $w \otimes e^a \otimes t_r \in (V_\Lambda[\tau^r]^{(rf)})_1$,

$$x_{(0)}^{(rf)}(w \otimes e^a \otimes t_r) = (x|a + rf)w \otimes e^a \otimes t_r, \tag{4.5}$$

which shows that x is semisimple in $(\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g})_1$. Since $x, a + rf \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$ and $(\cdot|\cdot)$ is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$, the equation (4.5) also implies that the centralizer of $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$ in $(\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g})_1$ is again $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$. Hence $\mathfrak{h}_{(0)}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $(\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g})_1$. Thus $(\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g})_1$ is a 48-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra with Lie rank 6, and the only possibility of its type is A_6. We remark that, up to a scaling, $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6\}$ forms a set of simple roots for a root system of type A_6 (see Remark 3.6).

By Lemma 2.1, we have the ratio $h^\vee/k = 1$ and hence the level k is 7. Therefore the Lie algebra $(\tilde{V}_{\Lambda,g})_1$ has type $A_{6,7}$. □

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to thank the referee for helpful comments.

References

[CM] S. Carnahan and M. Miyamoto, Regularity of fixed-point vertex operator subalgebras; arXiv:1603.05645.

[CCNPW85] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, Atlas of finite groups, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985, Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups, With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray.

[CS99] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere packings, lattices and groups, 3rd Edition, Springer, New York, 1999.

[DL96] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **110** (1996), 259–295.

[DLM00] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000), 1–56.

[DM04a] C. Dong and G. Mason, Holomorphic vertex operator algebras of small central charge, *Pacific J. Math.* **213** (2004), 253–266.

[DM04b] C. Dong and G. Mason, Rational vertex operator algebras and the effective central charge, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2004), 2989–3008.

[EMS] J. van Ekeren, S. Möller and N. Scheithauer, Construction and classification of holomorphic vertex operator algebras; arXiv:1507.08142.

[Gr98] R. L. Griess, Jr., Twelve Sporadic Groups, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1998.

[La11] C.H. Lam, On the constructions of holomorphic vertex operator algebras of central charge 24, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **305** (2011), 153–198

[LS12] C.H. Lam and H. Shimakura, Quadratic spaces and holomorphic framed vertex operator algebras of central charge 24, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **104** (2012), 540–576.

[LS16] C.H. Lam and H. Shimakura, Orbifold construction of holomorphic vertex operator algebras associated to inner automorphisms, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **342**(2016-03), no. 3, 803-841.

[Le85] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** (1985), 8295–8299.

[Li94] H. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **96** (1994), 279–297.

[Li96] H. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex operator superalgebras and twisted modules, *in* Moonshine, the Monster, and related topics, 203–236, *Contemp. Math.*, **193**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[Mi13] M. Miyamoto, A $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold theory of lattice vertex operator algebra and $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold constructions, *in* Symmetries, integrable systems and representations, 319–344, *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* **40**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[Mi15] M. Miyamoto, C_2-cofiniteness of cyclic-orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **335** (2015), 1279–1286.

[Mi] M. Miyamoto, Flatness and Semi-Rigidity of Vertex Operator Algebras; arXiv:1104.4675.

[SS16] D. Sagaki and H. Shimakura, Application of a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction to the lattice vertex operator algebras associated to Niemeier lattices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), 1621–1646.

[Sc93] A.N. Schellekens, Meromorphic $c = 24$ conformal field theories, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **153** (1993), 159–185.

(C. H. Lam) Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei 10617, Taiwan and National Center for Theoretical Sciences of Taiwan.

E-mail address: chlam@math.sinica.edu.tw

(H. Shimakura) Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

E-mail address: shimakura@m.tohoku.ac.jp